

## Exercises: Some Solutions (October 3, 2012)

These exercises are supposed to be warm-up exercises—to get rid of the possible rust in the reader’s matrix engine.

**0.1** (Contingency table,  $2 \times 2$ ). Consider three frequency tables (contingency tables) below. In each table the row variable is  $x$ .

- (a) Write up the original data matrices and calculate the correlation coefficients  $r_{xy}$ ,  $r_{xz}$  and  $r_{xu}$ .
- (b) What happens if the location (cell) of the zero frequency changes while other frequencies remain mutually equal?
- (c) Explain why  $r_{xy} = r_{xu}$  even if the  $u$ -values 2 and 5 are replaced with arbitrary  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a < b$ .

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{c} y \\ 0 \ 1 \\ 1 \ \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}} \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c} z \\ 0 \ 1 \\ 1 \ \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{array}} \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c} u \\ 2 \ 5 \\ 1 \ \boxed{\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}} \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.1:

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = (\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{z}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 5 \\ 5 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In all cases the correlation coefficient is 0.5. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}
 r_{xy} &= \frac{\text{SP}_{xy}}{\sqrt{\text{SS}_x \text{SS}_y}} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y}, \\
 \text{SS}_x &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2, \\
 \text{SP}_{xy} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - n\bar{x}\bar{y}.
 \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: In **A** the variables  $x$  and  $y$  have the same variances, in which case the correlation coefficient and the slope  $\hat{\beta}_1$  are identical:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{SP_{xy}}{SS_x} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x^2} = r_{xy} \frac{s_y}{s_x} = r_{xy}, \text{ if } s_x = s_y.$$

If the cell of the zero-frequency changes, then  $|r_{xy}|$  remains the same but the sign may change. In the following cases  $r_{xy} = -0.5$ :

$$x \begin{array}{c} y \\ 0 \ 1 \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array} \quad x \begin{array}{c} y \\ 0 \ 1 \\ \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$$

(c) We try to find to find real numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  which have the property  $u_i = \alpha + \beta y_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ , i.e.,

$$a = \alpha + \beta \cdot 0, \quad b = \alpha + \beta \cdot 1 \implies \alpha = a, \quad \beta = b - a \implies u_i = a + (b - a)y_i.$$

Because the  $u$ -values are obtained by a linear transformation  $u_i = a + (b - a)y_i$ , where  $b - a > 0$ , we necessarily have  $r_{xu} = r_{xy}$ .

If the transformation were  $u_i = c + dy_i$ , where  $d < 0$ , then  $r_{xu} = -r_{xy}$ .  $\square$

**0.2.** Prove the following results concerning two dichotomous variables whose observed frequency table is given below.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}_s(y) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{\gamma\delta}{n} = \frac{n}{n-1} \cdot \frac{\delta}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{n}\right), \\ \text{cov}_s(x, y) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{ad-bc}{n}, \quad \text{cor}_s(x, y) = \frac{ad-bc}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}} = r, \\ \chi^2 &= \frac{n(ad-bc)^2}{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = nr^2. \end{aligned}$$

	$y$		
	0	1	total
$x$	0	$a \ b$	$\alpha$
	1	$c \ d$	$\beta$
	total	$\gamma \ \delta$	$n$

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.2:**

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{y} &= \frac{\delta}{n}, \quad \bar{x} = \frac{\beta}{n}, \\ \text{var}_s(y) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - n\bar{y}^2 \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \delta - n \frac{\delta^2}{n^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \delta \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{n} \right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\delta}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{n} \right) = \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\delta\gamma}{n^2}, \\ \text{cov}_s(x, y) &= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - n\bar{x}\bar{y} \right) = \frac{1}{n-1} \left( d - n \frac{\beta}{n} \frac{\delta}{n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{n}{n-1} \left( \frac{d}{n} - \frac{\beta}{n} \frac{\delta}{n} \right).$$

In view of

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{n} - \frac{\beta}{n} \cdot \frac{\delta}{n} &= \frac{1}{n^2} [nd - (c+d)(b+d)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [(a+b+c+d)d - (cb+cd+db+d^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} (ad - bc), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}_s(x, y) &= \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{ad - bc}{n^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} \frac{ad - bc}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: According to (0.131) and (0.133), we can consider a data matrix  $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} : \dots : \mathbf{u}_{(n)})'$  and define a discrete random vector  $\mathbf{u}_*$  with probability function

$$P(\mathbf{u}_* = \mathbf{u}_{(i)}) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

i.e., every data point has the same probability to be the value of the random vector  $\mathbf{u}_*$ . Then

$$E(\mathbf{u}_*) = \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \quad \text{cov}(\mathbf{u}_*) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{U}' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} = \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S}.$$

Below in Exercise 0.3 the considerations are done for a 2-dimensional random vector which is obtained from the frequency table above so that each observation has the same probability  $1/n$ .  $\square$

**0.3.** Let  $\mathbf{z} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$  be a discrete 2-dimensional random vector which is obtained from the frequency table in Exercise 0.2 so that each observation has the same probability  $1/n$ . Prove that then

$$E(y) = \frac{\delta}{n}, \quad \text{var}(y) = \frac{\delta}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta}{n} \right), \quad \text{cov}(x, y) = \frac{ad - bc}{n^2}, \quad \text{cor}(x, y) = \frac{ad - bc}{\sqrt{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}}.$$

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.3:**

$$\begin{aligned} E(x) = \mu_x &= \frac{\alpha}{n} 0 + \frac{\beta}{n} 1 = \frac{\beta}{n}, & E(y) &= \frac{\delta}{n}, \\ \text{var}(x) = \sigma_x^2 &= E(x^2) - \mu_x^2 \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{n} 0^2 + \frac{\beta}{n} 1^2 - \frac{\beta^2}{n^2} = \frac{\beta}{n} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{n} \right) = \frac{\beta}{n} \frac{\alpha}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{var}(y) = \frac{\delta}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{n}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{n} \frac{\delta}{n} = \frac{\gamma}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{n}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(x, y) &= \sigma_{xy} = \mathbf{E}(xy) - \mu_x \mu_y \\ &= \frac{d}{n} - \frac{\beta}{n} \cdot \frac{\delta}{n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [nd - (c+d)(b+d)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} [(a+b+c+d)d - (cb+cd+db+d^2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} (ad - bc). \end{aligned}$$

□

**0.4** (Continued ...). Show that in terms of the probabilities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(y) &= p_{\cdot 1} p_{\cdot 2}, \\ \text{cov}(x, y) &= p_{11} p_{22} - p_{12} p_{21}, \\ \text{cor}(x, y) &= \frac{p_{11} p_{22} - p_{12} p_{21}}{\sqrt{p_{\cdot 1} p_{\cdot 2} p_{1 \cdot} p_{2 \cdot}}} = \rho_{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

		$y$		
		0	1	total
$x$	0	$p_{11}$	$p_{12}$	$p_{1 \cdot}$
	1	$p_{21}$	$p_{22}$	$p_{2 \cdot}$
total		$p_{\cdot 1}$	$p_{\cdot 2}$	1

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.4:**

All probabilities are obtained from the table of Exercise 0.2 by dividing each figure by  $n$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(x) &= \frac{\beta}{n} = p_{2 \cdot}, & \mathbf{E}(y) &= \frac{\delta}{n} = p_{\cdot 2}, \\ \text{var}(x) &= \frac{\beta}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\beta}{n}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{n}\right) = p_{1 \cdot} p_{2 \cdot}, \\ \text{var}(y) &= \frac{\delta}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{n}\right) = \frac{\gamma}{n} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma}{n}\right) = p_{\cdot 1} p_{\cdot 2}, \\ \text{cov}(x, y) &= \frac{d}{n} - \frac{\beta}{n} \cdot \frac{\delta}{n} \\ &= p_{22} - p_{2 \cdot} p_{\cdot 2} \\ &= \frac{ad - bc}{n^2} \\ &= p_{11} p_{22} - p_{12} p_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**0.5** (Continued ...). Confirm:

$$\varrho_{xy} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \det \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{p_{11}}{p_{21}} = \frac{p_{12}}{p_{22}} \Leftrightarrow \frac{a}{c} = \frac{b}{d}.$$

**0.6** (Continued ...). Show, using (0.85) (p. 19), that the dichotomous random variables  $x$  and  $y$  are statistically independent if and only if  $\varrho_{xy} = 0$ . By the way, for interesting comments on  $2 \times 2$  tables, see Speed (2008b).

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.6:**

The random variables  $x$  and  $y$  are statistically independent if and only if

$$P(x = i, y = j) = P(x = i)P(y = j) \quad \text{for all } i = 0, 1, j = 0, 1, \quad (*)$$

i.e.,

$$p_{ij} = p_i \cdot p_{\cdot j} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, j = 1, 2. \quad (1)$$

while  $x$  and  $y$  are uncorrelated if and only if  $\text{cov}(x, y) = p_{22} - p_{2\cdot}p_{\cdot 2} = 0$ , i.e.,

$$p_{22} = p_{2\cdot}p_{\cdot 2}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose that (2) holds, i.e.,  $x$  and  $y$  are uncorrelated. Then (2) implies that (1) holds for  $i = j = 2$ . Moreover, (2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} p_{21} &= p_{2\cdot} - p_{22} = p_{2\cdot} - p_{2\cdot}p_{\cdot 2} = p_{2\cdot}(1 - p_{\cdot 2}) = p_{2\cdot}p_{\cdot 1}, \\ p_{12} &= p_{\cdot 2} - p_{22} = p_{\cdot 2} - p_{2\cdot}p_{\cdot 2} = p_{\cdot 2}(1 - p_{2\cdot}) = p_{\cdot 2}p_{1\cdot}, \\ p_{11} &= p_{1\cdot} - p_{12} = p_{1\cdot} - p_{1\cdot}p_{\cdot 2} = p_{1\cdot}(1 - p_{\cdot 2}) = p_{1\cdot}p_{\cdot 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have shown that (2) implies (1). Recall that statistical independence implies that  $E(xy) = E(x)E(y) = \mu_x\mu_y$  and hence  $\text{cov}(x, y) = E(xy) - \mu_x\mu_y = 0$ .  $\square$

**0.7** (Continued, in a way ...). Consider dichotomous variables  $x$  and  $y$  whose values are  $A_1, A_2$  and  $B_1, B_2$ , respectively, and suppose we have  $n$  observations from these variables. Let us define new variables in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1 \text{ if } x \text{ has value } A_1, \text{ and } x_1 = 0 \text{ otherwise,} \\ x_2 &= 1 \text{ if } x \text{ has value } A_2, \text{ and } x_2 = 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned}$$

and let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be defined in the corresponding way with respect to the values  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Denote the observed  $n \times 4$  data matrix as  $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{x}_1 : \mathbf{x}_2 : \mathbf{y}_1 : \mathbf{y}_2) = (\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{Y})$ . We are interested in the statistical dependence of the variables  $x$  and  $y$  and hence we prepare the following frequency table (contingency table):

		$y$		total
		$B_1$	$B_2$	
$x$	$A_1$	$f_{11}$	$f_{12}$	$r_1$
	$A_2$	$f_{21}$	$f_{22}$	$r_2$
total		$c_1$	$c_2$	$n$

Let  $e_{ij}$  denote the expected frequency (for the usual  $\chi^2$ -statistic for testing the independence) of the cell  $(i, j)$  and

$$e_{ij} = \frac{r_i c_j}{n}, \quad \mathbf{E} = (\mathbf{e}_1 : \mathbf{e}_2), \quad \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{f}_1 : \mathbf{f}_2),$$

and  $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\mathbf{r} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix}$ . We may assume that all elements of  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{r}$  are nonzero. Confirm the following:

- $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = -1$ ,  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{Y}) \leq 3$ ,  $\text{rank}[\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{Y})] \leq 2$ ,
- $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{D}_r$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{D}_c$ ,
- $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{c}'/n = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}_n'\mathbf{Y}/n = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y}$ .
- The columns of  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1}$  represent the conditional relative frequencies (distributions) of  $x$ .
- $\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}$  is the centering matrix, and hence  $\frac{1}{n-1}(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E})$  is the sample (cross)covariance matrix between the  $x$ - and  $y$ -variables.

• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.7:

- (a) Suppose that the observations are arranged so that

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_1 : \mathbf{x}_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_{r_1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1}_{r_2} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 2}.$$

Then obviously  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = -1$  and similarly  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = -1$ . Moreover,

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{Y}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{X}) + \text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}) - \dim \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y}) \leq 2 + 2 - 1 = 3,$$

because  $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{1}_2 = \mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{1}_2$  and hence  $\dim \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{Y}) \geq 1$ .

Denote  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1) = a$ . Then, in view of  $y_2 = -y_1 + 1$ , we have  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_2) = -a$ , and in view of  $x_2 = -x_1 + 1$ , we have  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_1) = -a$ , and similarly  $\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2) = a$ , and so we can conclude that

$$\text{cor}_d(\mathbf{X} : \mathbf{Y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & a & -a \\ -1 & 1 & -a & a \\ a & -a & 1 & -1 \\ -a & a & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} := \mathbf{R},$$

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{R}) = \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ -1 & -a \\ a & 1 \\ -a & -1 \end{pmatrix} \leq 2.$$

- (b)  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{1}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}'_{r_1} & \mathbf{0}' \\ \mathbf{0}' & \mathbf{1}'_{r_2} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}_n = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{r}$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{1}_n = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{c}$ ,  
 $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{D}_r$ ,  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{D}_c$ ,
- (c) frequency table:  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{F}$ ,  
theoretical frequencies:  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{r}\mathbf{c}'/n = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{1}_n\mathbf{1}'_n\mathbf{Y}/n = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{J}\mathbf{Y}$ .
- (d) The columns of

$$\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{D}_c^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{11}/c_1 & f_{12}/c_2 \\ f_{21}/c_1 & f_{22}/c_2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } c_i = \#(y = B_i),$$

represent the conditional relative frequencies (distributions) of  $x$ .

- (e)  $\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ , where  $\mathbf{C}$  is the centering matrix, and hence  $\frac{1}{n-1}(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E})$  is the sample (cross)covariance matrix between the  $x$ - and  $y$ -variables.

□

### 0.8 (Continued ...).

- (a) Show that  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ ,  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}$ , and  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$  are double-centered;  $\mathbf{A}_{n \times p}$  is said to be double-centered if  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{1}_p = \mathbf{0}_n$  and  $\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{0}_p$ .
- (b) Prove that  $\mathbf{1}_n \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$  and that it is possible that  $\dim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}) > 1$ .
- (c) Show, using the rule  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}) - \dim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{C})^\perp$ , see Theorem 5 (p. 145), that

$$\text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}) = c - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}) = r - 1,$$

where  $c$  and  $r$  refer to the number of categories of  $y$  and  $x$ , respectively; see Exercise 19.12 (p. 435) In this situation of course  $c = r = 2$ .

- (d) Confirm that  $(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y} &= \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}, \\ (\mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}') \cdot \mathbf{D}_c^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}') &= \mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}'. \end{aligned}$$

See also part (b) of Exercise 0.11 (p. 56), Exercise 4.9 (p. 145), and Exercise 19.12 (p. 435).

• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.8:

- (a)  $\mathbf{1}'_2 \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{1}_2 = \mathbf{1}'_n \mathbf{C} \mathbf{1}_n = 0$ .
- (b)  $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{1}_2 = \mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{1}_2 \implies \mathbf{1}_n \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$  and hence  $\dim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}) \geq 1$ . For example, if  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y}$ , then  $\dim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}) = 2 > 1$ .
- (c)  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y}) = \text{rk}[(\mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y})' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y}] = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{C}) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}) - \dim \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{1}_n) = \text{rk}(\mathbf{Y}) - 1 = c - 1$ .
- (d) Because

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}') \cdot \mathbf{D}_c^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}') &= (\mathbf{I}_n - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{D}_c^{-1}) (\mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}') \\ &= \mathbf{D}_c - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' - \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' + \frac{1}{n^2} \underbrace{\mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}' \mathbf{D}_c^{-1} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c}'}_{=n}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{c}' \mathbf{D}_c^{-1} \mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_c) \text{diag}(1/c_1, \dots, 1/c_c) (c_1, \dots, c_c)' = c_1 + \dots + c_c = n,$$

the claim follows.  $\square$

0.9 (Continued ...).

- (a) What is the interpretation of the matrix

$$\mathbf{G} = \sqrt{n} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{Y})^{-1/2} = \sqrt{n} \mathbf{D}_r^{-1/2} (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{D}_c^{-1/2} ?$$

• SOLUTION TO (a):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G} &= \sqrt{n} (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{C} \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{Y}' \mathbf{Y})^{-1/2} = \sqrt{n} \mathbf{D}_r^{-1/2} \underbrace{(\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E})}_{:=\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{D}_c^{-1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{n} \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_r}}\right) \mathbf{Z} \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_c}}\right) \\ &= \left\{ \sqrt{n} \frac{z_{ij}}{\sqrt{r_i c_j}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{f_{ij} - e_{ij}}{\sqrt{r_i c_j / n}} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{f_{ij} - e_{ij}}{\sqrt{e_{ij}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Convince yourself that the matrix

$$\mathbf{G}_* = \mathbf{D}_r^{-1/2} (\mathbf{F} - \mathbf{E}) \mathbf{D}_c^{-1/2}$$

remains invariant if instead of frequencies we consider proportions so that the matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  is replaced with  $\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}$  and the matrices  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{D}_r$  and  $\mathbf{D}_c$  are calculated accordingly.



- (c) Show that the  $\chi^2$ -statistic for testing the independence of  $x$  and  $y$  can be written as

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(f_{ij} - e_{ij})^2}{e_{ij}} = \|\mathbf{G}\|_F^2 = \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}'\mathbf{G}) = n \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_Y \mathbf{C}').$$

See also Exercise 19.13.

• SOLUTION TO (c):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{G}\|_F^2 &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}\mathbf{G}') \\ &= n \text{tr}[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1/2} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1/2} \cdot (\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1/2}] \\ &= n \text{tr}[(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{X}] \\ &= n \text{tr}[\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}'\mathbf{C}] = n \text{tr}(\mathbf{P}_X \mathbf{C} \mathbf{P}_Y \mathbf{C}'). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

- (d) Show that the contribution of the  $i$ th column of  $\mathbf{F}$  on the  $\chi^2$ ,  $\chi^2(\mathbf{f}_i)$ , say, can be expressed as (a kind of squared Mahalanobis distance)

$$\chi^2(\mathbf{f}_i) = (\mathbf{f}_i - \mathbf{e}_i)' \mathbf{D}^{-1} (\mathbf{f}_i - \mathbf{e}_i),$$

where

$$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{e}_i) = \begin{pmatrix} e_{i1} & 0 \\ 0 & e_{i2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 c_i / n & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 c_i / n \end{pmatrix} = c_i \begin{pmatrix} r_1 / n & 0 \\ 0 & r_2 / n \end{pmatrix}.$$

**0.10** (Multinomial distribution). Consider the random vectors (for simplicity only three-dimensional)

$$\mathbf{z}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} \\ z_{21} \\ z_{31} \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_m = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1m} \\ z_{2m} \\ z_{3m} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{z}_m,$$

where  $\mathbf{z}_i$  are identically and independently distributed random vectors so that each  $\mathbf{z}_i$  is defined so that only one element gets value 1 the rest being 0. Let  $P(z_{i1} = 1) = p_1$ ,  $P(z_{i2} = 1) = p_2$ , and  $P(z_{i3} = 1) = p_3$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 1$ , each  $p_i > 0$ , and denote  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)'$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{z}_i) = (p_1, p_2, p_3)' = \mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = m\mathbf{p},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\mathbf{z}_i) &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1(1-p_1) & -p_1p_2 & -p_1p_3 \\ -p_2p_1 & p_2(1-p_2) & -p_2p_3 \\ -p_3p_1 & -p_3p_2 & p_3(1-p_3) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p_3 \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}' \\ &:= \mathbf{D}_p - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}' := \mathbf{\Sigma}, \quad \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) = m\mathbf{\Sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathbf{x}$  follows a multinomial distribution with parameters  $m$  and  $\mathbf{p}$ :  
 $\mathbf{x} \sim \text{Mult}(m, \mathbf{p})$ .

**0.11** (Continued . . .). Confirm:

- (a)  $\Sigma$  is double-centered (row and column sums are zero), singular and has rank 2.
- (b)  $\Sigma \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} \Sigma = \Sigma$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$  is a generalized inverse of  $\Sigma$ . Confirm that  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1}$  does not necessarily satisfy any other Moore–Penrose conditions. See also Exercises 0.8 (p. 53) and 4.9 (p. 145).
- (c) We can think (confirm . . .) that the columns (or rows if we wish) of a contingency table are realizations of a multinomial random variable. Let  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  represent two columns (two observations) from such a random variable and assume that instead of the frequencies we consider proportions  $\mathbf{y}_1 = \mathbf{x}_1/c_1$  and  $\mathbf{y}_2 = \mathbf{x}_2/c_2$ . Then  $\mathbf{x}_i \sim \text{Mult}(c_i, \mathbf{p})$  and  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{y}_i) = \frac{1}{c_i} \Sigma$ , where  $\Sigma = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'$ , and the squared Mahalanobis distance, say  $M$ , between the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2$  can be defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= c_1 c_2 (c_1 + c_2)^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= c_1 c_2 (c_1 + c_2)^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)' \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{p}}^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Neudecker (1997), Puntanen, Styan & Subak-Sharpe (1998),  
 Greenacre (2007, p. 270).

**0.12.** Let  $\mathbf{P}_{n \times n}$  be an idempotent matrix. Show that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I}_n - \mathbf{P}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}).$$

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.12:**

- (a)  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{P}) \cap \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \implies \exists \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \boldsymbol{\beta}$ :

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Premultiplying the above equation by  $\mathbf{P}$  yields

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{P}^2\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}^2)\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{because } \mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}.$$

Hence also  $\mathbf{P}^2\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{P}^2\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ .

- (b) Let's first show that  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P})$ . Now  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \implies \exists \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ :

$$\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\boldsymbol{\alpha}. \quad (*)$$

Premultiplying (\*) by  $\mathbf{P}$ :

$$\mathbf{P}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}^2)\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}),$$

and thereby  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P})$ . It remains to show that  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}) \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{P}) &\implies \mathbf{P}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \implies \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \\ &\implies (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P})\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} \implies \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**0.13.** Confirm:  $\mathbf{A} \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{B} \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{C} \implies \mathbf{A} \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{C}$ .

• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.13:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A} \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{B} \text{ and } \mathbf{B} \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{C} &\implies \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}', \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' \\ &\implies \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C} = -\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' + \mathbf{B} \\ &\implies \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{K}' + \mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}' \succeq_{\mathbf{L}} \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**0.14.** Suppose that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$  are  $p$ -dimensional random vectors. Confirm:

- (a)  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) \iff \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$ ;  
if  $\mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}'$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be skew-symmetric.
- (b)  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) \iff \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 2\text{cov}(\mathbf{y})$ .

• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.14:

- (a)  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y})$   
 $\iff$   
 $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = -\text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) \iff \Sigma_{\mathbf{xy}} = -\Sigma'_{\mathbf{xy}}$ .
- (b)  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{y})$   
 $\iff$   
 $-\text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) = \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$   
 $\iff$   
 $\text{cov}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \text{cov}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = 2\text{cov}(\mathbf{y})$ .

□

**0.24.** Consider the set of numbers  $\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  and let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p$  denote a random sample selected without a replacement from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Denote  $y = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_p = \mathbf{1}'_p \mathbf{x}$ . Confirm the following:

- (a)  $\text{var}(x_i) = \frac{N^2-1}{12}$ ,  $\text{cor}(x_i, x_j) = -\frac{1}{N-1} = \varrho$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, p$ ,  
 (b)  $\text{cor}^2(x_1, y) = \text{cor}^2(x_1, x_1 + \dots + x_p) = \frac{1}{p} + (1 - \frac{1}{p})\varrho$ .

See also Section 10.6 (p. 234).

• **SOLUTION TO EX. 0.24:**

Clearly each  $x_i$  follows a discrete uniform distribution,  $\text{Unif}(1, \dots, N)$ , so that

$$\mathbb{E}(x_i) = \frac{1}{N} (1 + 2 + \dots + N) = \frac{N+1}{2} := \mu,$$

and the variance is

$$\text{var}(x_i) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N i^2 - \mu^2 = \frac{N^2-1}{12} := \sigma^2,$$

where we have used the fact

$$\sum_{i=1}^N i^2 = \frac{N(N+1)(2N+1)}{6}.$$

We will next show that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(x_i, x_j) &= -\frac{1}{N-1} \frac{N^2-1}{12} = -\frac{1}{N-1} \sigma^2 = -\frac{N+1}{12}, \\ \text{cor}(x_i, x_j) &= \frac{-\frac{1}{N-1} \sigma^2}{\sigma \cdot \sigma} = -\frac{1}{N-1} := \varrho, \quad i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p$  denote a random sample selected *with* a replacement from  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ . Because  $z_i$  and  $z_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ) are uncorrelated we trivially have

$$\text{cov}(z_i, z_j) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\ell=1}^N (k - \mu_y)(\ell - \mu_y) := \frac{1}{N^2} \text{SP}_{z_i z_j} = 0.$$

In view of

$$\text{cov}(x_i, x_j) = \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ k \neq \ell}}^N (k - \mu_y)(\ell - \mu_y) := \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \text{SP}_{x_i x_j},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SP}_{x_i x_j} &= \text{SP}_{z_i z_j} - \sum_{k=1}^N (k - \mu_y)^2 = 0 - N\sigma^2 = -N \frac{N^2 - 1}{12}, \\ \text{cov}(x_i, x_j) &= -\frac{1}{N(N-1)} N \frac{N^2 - 1}{12} = -\frac{N+1}{12}, \\ \text{cor}(x_i, x_j) &= -\frac{(N+1)/12}{(N^2-1)/12} = -\frac{1}{N-1} = \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

If  $y = x_1 + \cdots + x_p = \mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}$ , then

$$\text{cor}^2(x_1, y) = \text{cor}^2(x_1, x_1 + \cdots + x_p) = \frac{\text{cov}^2(x_1, y)}{\text{var}(x_1) \text{var}(y)}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(x_i) &= \frac{N^2 - 1}{12} = \sigma^2, \quad i = 1, \dots, p, \\ \text{var}(y) &= \text{var}(\mathbf{1}'\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}' \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} &= \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \varrho & \cdots & \varrho \\ \varrho & 1 & \cdots & \varrho \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varrho & \varrho & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}, \\ \text{cor}(x_i, x_j) &= \varrho = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} = \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{\sigma^2} \implies \sigma_{ij} = \sigma^2 \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{var}(y) = \mathbf{1}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{1} = p\sigma^2[1 + (p-1)\varrho].$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(x_1, y) &= \text{cov}(x_1, x_1 + \cdots + x_p) \\ &= \text{cov}(x_1, x_1) + \text{cov}(x_1, x_2) + \cdots + \text{cov}(x_1, x_p) \\ &= \sigma^2 + \sigma_{12} + \cdots + \sigma_{1p} \\ &= \sigma^2[1 + (p-1)\varrho], \end{aligned}$$

and thereby

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cor}^2(x_1, y) &= \frac{\sigma^4[1 + (p-1)\varrho]^2}{\sigma^2 \cdot p\sigma^2[1 + (p-1)\varrho]} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \frac{p-1}{p} \varrho \\ &= \frac{1}{p} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \varrho. \end{aligned}$$

□

**0.25** (Hotelling's  $T^2$ ). Let  $\mathbf{U}'_1$  and  $\mathbf{U}'_2$  be independent random samples from  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , respectively. Denote  $\mathbf{T}_i = \mathbf{U}'_i(\mathbf{I}_{n_i} - \mathbf{J}_{n_i})\mathbf{U}_i$ , and  $\mathbf{S}_* = \frac{1}{f}(\mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2)$ , where  $f = n_1 + n_2 - 2$ . Confirm that

$$T^2 = \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_*^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) \sim T^2(p, n_1 + n_2 - 2), \quad (\text{a})$$

where  $T^2(a, b)$  refers to the Hotelling's  $T^2$  distribution; see (0.128) (p. 26). It can be shown that if  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ , then

$$\frac{n_1 + n_2 - p - 1}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)p} T^2 \sim F(p, n_1 + n_2 - p - 1). \quad (\text{b})$$

NOTICE: In (a) we should actually assume that  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ .

• **SOLUTION TO EX. 0.25:**

Recall the Wishart distribution and Hotelling's  $T^2$  distribution:

- Let  $\mathbf{U}' = (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} : \dots : \mathbf{u}_{(n)})$  be a random sample from  $N_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{u}_{(i)}$ 's are independent and each  $\mathbf{u}_{(i)} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Then  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{u}_{(i)}\mathbf{u}'_{(i)}$  is said to have a Wishart distribution with  $n$  degrees of freedom and scale matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ , and we write  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(n, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{U}'$  be a random sample from  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . Then  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{1}_n$  and  $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{U}'(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{J})\mathbf{U}$  are independent and  $\mathbf{T} \sim W_p(n-1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ .
- Suppose  $\mathbf{v} \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ,  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ,  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $\mathbf{W}$  are independent, and that  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^6$  is positive definite. Hotelling's  $T^2$  distribution is the distribution of

$$T^2 = m \cdot \mathbf{v}'\mathbf{W}^{-1}\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}'\left(\frac{1}{m}\mathbf{W}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{c})$$

and is denoted as  $T^2 \sim T^2(p, m)$ .

In the situation of Ex. 0.25,  $\mathbf{T}_1 \sim W_p(n_1 - 1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and  $\mathbf{T}_2 \sim W_p(n_2 - 1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  and  $\mathbf{T}_1$  and  $\mathbf{T}_2$  are independent. Hence it is easy to conclude (at least easy to believe ...) that their sum has property

$$\mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2 \sim W_p(n_1 + n_2 - 2, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

Suppose that  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ . Then the difference  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2$  obviously has the distribution

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2 \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \frac{1}{n_1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{n_2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}) = N_p(\mathbf{0}, \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}),$$

and thereby

$$\sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) \sim N_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}).$$

<sup>6</sup> In the *Tricks Book* here is erroneously  $\mathbf{W}$ .

Substituting

$$\mathbf{v} = \sqrt{\frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2),$$

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{T}_1 + \mathbf{T}_2, \quad m = n_1 + n_2 - 2,$$

into (c) yields (a).

For further details concerning the testing of hypothesis  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ , see pages 233–234.  $\square$

**0.26** (Continued ...). Show that if  $n_1 = 1$ , then the Hotelling's  $T^2$  becomes

$$T^2 = \frac{n_2}{n_2 + 1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2).$$

**• SOLUTION TO EX. 0.26:**

Now we have only one observation  $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$  from population 1 and  $n_2$  observations from population 2 and

$$\mathbf{S}_2 = \frac{1}{n_2 - 1} \mathbf{T}_2.$$

Hotelling's  $T^2$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_*^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) \\ &= \frac{n_2}{n_2 + 1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) \sim T^2(p, n_2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ , then

$$\frac{n_1 + n_2 - p - 1}{(n_1 + n_2 - 2)p} T^2 \sim F(p, n_1 + n_2 - p - 1),$$

which in this case becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_2 - p}{(n_2 - 1)p} T^2 &\sim F(p, n_2 - p), \\ \frac{n_2(n_2 - p)}{(n_2^2 - 1)p} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) &\sim F(p, n_2 - p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{a})$$

NOTICE: We can denote

$$(\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{u}_{(1)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) = \text{MHLN}^2(\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2, \mathbf{S}_2). \quad (\text{b})$$

Above  $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_2$  and  $\mathbf{S}_2$  are being calculated from the sample  $\mathbf{U}_2$  while the single observation  $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$  does not belong to this sample. The resulting Mahalanobis distance in (b) differs from the “usual” Mahalanobis distance (squared)

$$(\mathbf{u}_{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{u}_{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{u}}) = \text{MHLN}^2(\mathbf{u}_{(i)}, \bar{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{S}), \quad (\text{c})$$

where  $\mathbf{u}_{(i)}$  is one observation in the data matrix  $\mathbf{U}$ ,  $\mathbf{S} = \text{cov}_d(\mathbf{U})$ , and  $\bar{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{U}'\mathbf{1}_n/n$ .

PROBLEM: Try to compare (b) and (c).

- • If  $n_1 = 1$  and also  $p = 1$ , then (a) becomes

$$\frac{n_2(n_2 - 1)}{n_2^2 - 1} (u_1 - \bar{u}_2) s_2^{-2} (u_1 - \bar{u}_2) = \frac{n_2}{n_2 + 1} \frac{(u_1 - \bar{u}_2)^2}{s_2^2} \sim F(1, n_2 - 1),$$

where  $\bar{u}_2$  and  $s_2^2$  are calculated from the “second” sample. A clearer notation can be obtained from Exercise 8.9 (p. 186) which expresses the square root of the above test statistics as

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{y_n - \bar{y}_{(n)}}{s_{(n)}/\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}}} = \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}} \frac{y_n - \bar{y}_{(n)}}{s_{(n)}} \\ &= \frac{y_n - \bar{y}}{s_{(n)}\sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n}}} = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \frac{y_n - \bar{y}}{s_{(n)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{y}_{(n)}$  is the mean of  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$  and  $s_{(n)}$  their standard deviation;  $\bar{y}$  is the mean of all  $y_i$ 's. This  $t$ -test statistic is the externally Studentized residual.

- • If  $p = 1$  then, using the notation of Exercise 8.12 (p. 187), Hotelling's  $T^2$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2)' \mathbf{S}_*^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_1 - \bar{\mathbf{u}}_2) \\ &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \cdot \left( \frac{\text{SS}_1 + \text{SS}_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \right)^{-1} \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \sim T^2(1, n_1 + n_2 - 2), \end{aligned}$$

and the Hotelling's  $T^2$  is precisely the  $F$ -test statistics for the hypothesis  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 &= F \\ &= \frac{n_1 n_2}{n_1 + n_2} \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \cdot \left( \frac{\text{SS}_1 + \text{SS}_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \right)^{-1} \cdot (\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2) \\ &= \frac{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)^2}{\frac{\text{SS}_1 + \text{SS}_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)} = \frac{n_1(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y})^2 + n_2(\bar{y}_2 - \bar{y})^2}{\frac{\text{SS}_1 + \text{SS}_2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2}} \\ &= \frac{(\bar{y}_1 - \bar{y}_2)^2}{\frac{\text{SSE}}{n-2} \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 n_2}} \sim F(1, n_1 + n_2 - 2) = t^2(n_1 + n_2 - 2). \end{aligned}$$

- • If  $\mathbf{U}'$  is a random sample from  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ , then
- Hotelling's  $T^2$ :  $T^2 = n(\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) = n \cdot \text{MHLN}^2(\bar{\mathbf{u}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}_0, \mathbf{S})$ ,



$$\frac{n-p}{(n-1)p} T^2 \sim F(p, n-p, \theta), \quad \theta = n(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0).$$

- Hypothesis  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  is rejected at risk level  $\alpha$ , if

$$n(\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) > \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} F_{\alpha; p, n-p}.$$

- A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  confidence region for the mean of the  $N_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$  is the ellipsoid determined by all  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  such that

$$n(\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{u}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \leq \frac{p(n-1)}{n-p} F_{\alpha; p, n-p}.$$

□